EXHIBIT 2

OCT-29-2004 09:36 ROBINSON & WOOD P.02/22 David S. Henningsen, Esq. (SBN 64195) Jonathan N. King, Esq. (SBN 227121) ROBINSON & WOOD, INC. 1 ENDORSED 2 227 North First Street San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 298-7120 Facsimile: (408) 298-0477 3 OCT 2 9 2004 4 Clark of the Napa Superior Court Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant BDM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. 5 .S. PETTY. By: === BEBURY б 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NAPA 9 10 ROBERT MONDAVI WINERY. 11 CASE NO. 26-26808 Plaintiff 12 BDM CONSTRUCTION CC VS. INC.'S CROSS-COMPLAINT 13 BDM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY INC.: RIVER CITY CAULKING AND WATERPROOFING, and DOES 1 TO 50 14 15 , Inclusive. 16 Defendants. 17 BDM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY. 18 INC. 19 Cross-Complainant 20 VS_ 21 RIVER CITY CAULKING AND WATERPROOFING, INC.; W.R. GRACE & CO.; BRA ARCHITECT, and ROES 1 22 through 25, inclusive, 23 Cross-Defendants 24

Filed By One Legal

25 26 27

28
Redstrom & Write, Inc.
237 North First Miral
Man Jan. CA 1913
[486] 396/139

COMES NOW cross-complainant BDM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC..

(hereinafter referred to as "Cross-Complainant"), and complains of cross-defendants, and each of them, and alleges as follows:

-1-

8

CROSS-COMPLAINT

ROBERT MONDAY: WINERY V. DOM CONSTRUCTION
CO., INC., ET ALL CASE NO.: 36-36808

@PFDHHop/GODMAMMODMAMAMEMANACE:21275

11101728,57 - 10/29/2004 9:42:15 AH

7-1

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

[Against All Cross-Defendants]

- 1. At all relevant times mentioned, Cross-Complainant was and is a business entity authorized to do business and doing business in the County of Santa Clara, State of California.
- 2. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, plaintiff is a California Non-profit Mutual Benefit Corporation, duly authorized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California.
- 3. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the cross-defendants and the fictitiously named cross-defendants were duly organized and existing California corporations, partnerships, proprietorships, and/or other business entities authorized to do business and doing business in the State of California.

Cross-Complainant is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the aforementioned cross-defendants were the inspectors, subcontractors, engineers, and/or designers responsible for inspecting, constructing and/or designing the structures and appurtenances of the Property.

- 4. Cross-Complainant does not know the true names or capacities of cross-defendants sued herein as Roes 1-25, inclusive, and will amend this cross-complaint to insert their true names and capacities when ascertained. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said fictitiously named cross-defendants was negligent, careless, strictly liable, breached warranties, or were otherwise legally responsible for the happening of the events complained of in the plaintiff's complaint filed herein and any resulting injuries.
- 5. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, cross-defendants, and each of them, were corporations, partners, joint venturers, principals, agents, servants, employees and/or successors-in-interest of some, or all, of the other cross-defendants herein and were at all times relevant hereto,

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2425

23

26 27

28
obinson & Wood, Inc.
17 North First Street
un Jose, CA 95113

acting within the course and scope of said corporation, partnership, joint venture, agency, employment or other aforesaid relationship. Cross-Complainant is further informed and believes that the wrongful acts of cross-defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter alleged, were ratified and authorized by their respective corporations, partners, joint venturers, principals, employers and predecessors-in-interest.

6. On or about September 14, 2004, plaintiff filed its complaint against Cross-Complainant. Said complaint, and any future amended complaints filed in this action are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein. Said incorporation by reference being solely for the purpose of identification, Cross-Complainant does not admit the truth of any allegations contained therein. Plaintiff's complaint sets forth various causes of action against Cross-Complainant and other parties, including, but not limited to, damages arising from negligence, breach of contract, and breach of warranty.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

[Total Equitable Indemnity Against All Cross-Defendants]

- 7. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each, every, and all allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 6, inclusive, of this cross-complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 8. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, the aforementioned cross-defendants, and each of them, were negligent in and about the matters referred to in plaintiff's complaint such that if there is any liability to plaintiff in this action, it is due to the negligence and liability of cross-defendants, and each of them, and not of Cross-Complainant.
- 9. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that if Cross-Complainant is found liable to plaintiff or other cross-complainants in any amount, it will be due to the action of cross-defendants, and each of them, who were

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24 25

26 27

LÖ Robinson & Wood, Inc. 127 North First Street inn Jose, CA 95113 408) 298-7120 actively and/or passively negligent and primarily responsible for the damages of which plaintiff complains.

10. If it is found that Cross-Complainant is liable by reason of those things set forth herein, then Cross-Complainant is entitled to equitable indemnity, apportionment of liability and contribution, for any and all liability among and from the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, according to their respective fault, for the injuries and damages allegedly sustained by plaintiff, and/or other cross-complainants, by way of sums paid in settlement, or judgment rendered against Cross-Complainant in the action based upon plaintiff's complaint and/or on cross-complaints filed, or to be filed by others. Such indemnification and/or contribution shall include any and all attorneys' fees and court costs incurred by Cross-Complainant in the defense of plaintiff's complaint, or in the defense of cross-complaints filed or to be filed herein. Cross-Complainant hereby tenders its defense to cross-defendants, and each of them, and demands that they hold Cross-Complainant harmless from and against the allegations of plaintiff's complaint herein.

WHEREFORE, judgment is prayed as hereinafter set forth.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

[Comparative Indemnity and Contribution Against All Cross-Defendants]

- 11. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each, every, and all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 10, inclusive, of this cross-complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. Cross-Complainant denies any liability whatsoever to plaintiff and other cross-complainants in connection with the matters alleged in plaintiff's complaint, or in cross-complaints filed, or to be filed herein by others. Cross-Complainant further alleges that, if held liable to plaintiff and/or any other cross-complainant, such liability was and is the result, in total or in part, of the negligence, fault and/or other wrongful actions of the aforesaid cross-defendants. and each of them.

- 13. If, in fact, it is determined that Cross-Complainant is not entitled to be fully indemnified by the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, Cross-Complainant alleges that the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, and other parties to this action, would be concurrent tortfeasors and that Cross-Complainant is entitled to obtain indemnity and/or contribution from the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, on a comparative fault basis, the amount of said indemnity and contribution to be determined by the proportional degree, or allocation of proportionate fault of the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them.

 14. Cross-Complainant hereby tenders its defense to cross-defendants, and each
- 14. Cross-Complainant hereby tenders its defense to cross-defendants, and each of them, and demands that cross-defendants defend, indemnity, and hold Cross-Complainant harmless.

WHEREFORE, judgment is prayed as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

[Negligence Against All Cross-Defendants]

- 15. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each, every, and all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 14, inclusive, of this cross-complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, negligently, carelessly, and wrongfully failed to use reasonable care in designing, constructing, manufacturing, inspecting, installing, grading, testing, maintaining, repairing, managing, monitoring, and building improvements on the Property and/or in providing services or materials to design and construct buildings and appurtenances on the Property as more fully described in plaintiff's complaint.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, as herein alleged, Cross-Complainant has incurred, and continues to incur, damages according to proof, costs and expenses, including, but

not limited to, litigation costs, attorneys' fees and consultants' fees to inspect, repair, and mitigate damages arising out of said negligent services, inspection, design, construction, repair, provision of materials, maintenance, and to defend against plaintiff's complaint and/or other cross-complaints on file herein.

WHEREFORE, judgment is prayed and hereinafter set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Breach of Implied Warranty Against All Cross-Defendants]

- 18. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each, every and all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive, of this cross-complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the aforesaid cross-defendants entered into agreements, whether written or oral, with Cross-Complainant that provided that the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, would furnish all services, labor, and materials necessary, and would perform their work in a reasonable and workmanlike manner.
- 20. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, in surveying, testing, analyzing, designing, verifying, preparing, manufacturing, inspecting, maintaining, installing, replacing, repairing, grading, and constructing the structures and appurtenances on the Property, and materials therefor, impliedly warranted that the various products, services, items, structures and systems supplied, manufactured, assembled and/or designed, or constructed by the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, would be reasonably fit for the purpose intended.
- 21. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the surveying, designing, testing, verifying, analyzing, preparing, manufacturing, maintaining, inspecting, installing, verifying, replacing, repairing, grading, and

CROSS-COMPLAINT

construction of the building and appurtenances on the Property, and materials therefor, by the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, was deficient and not fit for the purpose intended and, in fact, aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, failed to perform the functions intended for each, and said malfunctions and inherent deficiencies have brought further damage to the Property as more fully set forth in plaintiff's complaint.

22. As a direct result of said deficient items, products, buildings, services and structures, Cross-Complainant has been damaged in an amount equal to any judgment rendered against it in the action because of work performed by aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, on the Property.

WHEREFORE, judgment is prayed as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Breach of Express Warranty Against All Cross-Defendants and ROES 1 through 200, inclusive]

- 23. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each, every, and all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive, of this cross-complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Complainant entered into written contracts with cross-defendants, and each of them, either directly or as a third party beneficiary, whereby each cross-defendant agreed, for due consideration, to perform its work in a proper, efficient, and workmanlike manner.
- 25. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, in surveying, testing, analyzing, designing, verifying, preparing, manufacturing, inspecting, maintaining, installing, replacing, repairing, grading, and constructing the structures and appurtenances on the Property, expressly warranted that the various products, services, items, structures and systems

6

11

9

20

18

25

26

23

supplied, manufactured, assembled and/or designed, or constructed by the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, would be reasonably fit for the purpose intended.

- 26. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the surveying, designing, testing, verifying, analyzing, preparing, manufacturing, maintaining, inspecting, installing, verifying, replacing, repairing, grading, and construction of the building and appurtenances on the Property by the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, was deficient and not fit for the purpose intended and, in fact, aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, failed to perform the functions intended for each, and said malfunctions and inherent deficiencies have brought further damage to the Property as more fully set forth in plaintiff's complaint.
- 27. As a direct result of said deficient items, products, buildings, services, and structures, cross-complainant has been damaged in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE, judgment is prayed as hereinafter set forth.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Express Contractual Indemnity Against All Cross-Defendants and Roes 1-200, inclusive]

- 28. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each, every, and all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, of this Cross-Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Cross-Complainant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Cross-Complainant entered into written contracts with cross-defendants, and each of them, either directly or as a third party beneficiary, whereby each cross-defendant agreed, for due consideration, to indemnify and save Cross-Complainant harmless from and against any liability and all loss, costs, damages, expenses, including attorneys' fees, on account of any claims resulting from injury to or death sustained by any person (including subcontractor's employees) or damage to property of any kind, which injury, death or damage arises out of or is in any way connected with the performance of work under the

26

subcontract, excepting any such matters caused solely and exclusively by the sole negligence or the sole willful misconduct of Cross-Complainant.

- 30. Cross-Complainant has performed all the conditions and obligations on its part under each of the said subcontracts.
- 31. Cross-defendants, and each of them, are required to defend, indemnify and hold harmless Cross-Complainant with regard to the claims made by plaintiff in the complaint.
- 32. As a result of the negligence, breach of contract, fault, or responsibility of cross-defendants, and each of them, as hereinabove alleged, Cross-Complainant has been required and has expended, or may be required to and will expend, substantial amounts in defending against the complaint and in settlement and satisfaction of any judgment or settlement.
- 33. Cross-Complainant is entitled to indemnification from cross-defendants, and each of them, for all otherwise recoverable fees, expenses, costs, consultant fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees, incurred in connection with this suit, as well as all damages resulting from cross-defendants' breach of their contractual responsibilities.
- 34. Cross-Complainant hereby tenders its defense to cross-defendants, and each of them, and demands that cross-defendants defend, indemnify, and hold Cross-Complainant harmless.

WHEREFORE, judgment is prayed as hereinafter set forth.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Breach of Contract Against All Cross-Defendants, and Roes 1 through 200, inclusive]

- 35. Cross-complainant realleges and incorporates herein by reference paragraphs through of the Cause of Action as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. Cross-complainant and cross-defendants entered into a written contract concerning the property more particularly described in plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Pursuant to the contract, cross-defendants were to construct the Project

pursuant to the plans and specifications and according to applicable Building Codes. Cross-Defendants were also to name Cross-Complainant as an additional insured on their insurance policy. Cross-complainant is informed and believes that cross-defendants, and each of them, breached their contractual obligations by failing to properly perform their duties and obligations to construct the project pursuant to the contract documents and to name Cross-complainant as an additional insured.

- 37. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that cross-defendants have breached their contractual duty.
- 38. Cross-complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the injuries allegedly suffered by plaintiff, as enumerated in the main complaint, were a foreseeable result of the breach of the aforementioned stipulation and that all damages due plaintiff flow from said breach.
- 39. That cross-complainant has retained attorneys to defend him in plaintiff's action and has incurred attorney's fees and other foreseeable defense costs due to said breach, all to cross-complainant's damage in amounts not yet ascertained and cross-complainant prays leave to amend to plead these amounts of said defense when they have been ascertained.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[Declaratory Relief Against All Cross-Defendants]

- 40. Cross-Complainant hereby realleges and incorporates by reference, each, every, and all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 39, inclusive, of this cross-complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 41. A dispute has arisen, and an actual controversy now exists between Cross-Complainant and the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, in that Cross-Complainant contends that it is entitled to equitable indemnity, total indemnity, express indemnity, and/or comparative indemnity and/or contribution, while the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, deny such obligations.

	42.	Cross-Complainant believes that the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of
them, a	are obl	igated to indemnify and protect Cross-Complainant against claims and
liabiliti	ies ass	erted by plaintiff and other cross-complainants herein, including, but not
imited	to, in	demnification for attorneys' fees and costs of suit herein, and the aforesaid
ross-d	lefenda	ants, and each of them, dispute and deny said obligation

- 43. Cross-Complainant desires a judicial determination of their respective rights and of the duty of the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, with respect to the damages and other relief claimed in plaintiff's complaint and/or in cross-complaints filed, or to be filed, by others herein. In particular, Cross-Complainant desires a declaration of the respective liabilities of Cross-Complainant and the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, for such damages, if any, and a declaration of the aforesaid cross-defendants' respective responsibilities to indemnify Cross-Complainant for the sums which Cross-Complainant may be compelled to pay, and for which the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, or any of them, have been determined responsible, as well as for attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred by Cross-Complainant in this action.
- Cross-Complainant may ascertain its rights and duties with respect to the claims of plaintiff and other cross-complainants herein. Further, the claims of plaintiff and/or the claims of other cross-complainants arise out of the same transaction, or series of transactions. A determination of the several sets of claims in one proceeding is necessary and appropriate in order to avoid a multiplicity of actions that would result if Cross-Complainant were required to defend the claims of plaintiff and/or other cross-complainants, and then to bring a separate action against the aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, for such indemnification of sums which Cross-Complainant may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgments, or other awards recovered by plaintiff, or other cross-complainants against Cross-Complainant in this action.

11 12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

28 binson & Wood, Inc. 7 North First Street WHEREFORE, Cross-Complainant prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For a determination that cross-defendants, and each of them, are required to defend, indemnify, and hold Cross-Complainant harmless from any and all damages, liability, judgment, losses, including, but not limited to, court costs and attorneys' fees incurred by Cross-Complainant in the defense of plaintiff's action or other actions filed by other cross-complainants;
- 2. For a declaration from this Court that in the event that plaintiff, or other cross-complainants, recover judgment against Cross-Complainant, the court and jury should apportion fault between Cross-Complainant and aforesaid cross-defendants, and each of them, based upon a comparison of fault between Cross-Complainant and cross-defendants, and each of them, and declare the relative responsibility of Cross-Complainant and cross-defendants, and each of them, for contribution based on their percentage degree of fault.
 - For compensatory damages according to proof;
 - 4. For the costs of suit incurred herein;
- 5. For attorneys' fees from such cross-defendants whose subcontracts with Cross-Complainant provide for same; and,
 - 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: 10 28/04

ROBINSON & WOOD, INC.

By

DAVID S. HENNINGSEN, ESQ.

JONATHAN M. KING, ESQ.

Attorneys for BDM CONSTRUCTION

COMPANY, INC.

3

2

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

. 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28 obinson & Wood, Inc. 7 North First Street In Jose, CA 95113 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

Robert Mondavi Winery vs. BDM Construction, et al. Napa County Superior Court Action No.: 26-26808

I, Maggie Le, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Santa Clara. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed by Robinson & Wood, Inc., 227 North First Street, San Jose, California, 95113, in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. I am readily familiar with Robinson & Wood, Inc.'s practice for collection and processing of documents for delivery by way of the service indicated below:

- (X) [BY MAIL] By consigning such copy in a sealed envelope, First Class postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service for collection and mailing
- () [BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY] By consigning such copy in a sealed envelope to an overnight courier for next business day delivery
- () [BY HAND-DELIVERY] BY consigning such copy in a sealed envelope to a messenger for guaranteed hand-delivery
- () [BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION] By consigning such copy to a facsimile operator for transmittal

On October 29, 2004, in accordance with ordinary business practices at Robinson & Wood, Inc., I caused to be served the within BDM CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.'S CROSS-COMPLAINT in the manner identified above on the person(s) listed below:

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED LIST

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 29, 2004, at San Jose, California.

Maggie Le

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 11187-3 Filed 11/28/05 Page 15 of 15

Adriana C. Moore
Julian J. Hubbard
McCloskey, Hubbard, Ebert & Moore, LLP
399 Bradford Street, Suite 102
Redwood City, CA 94063
Telephone: (650) 369-9353
Facsimile: (650) 369-9351

Attorneys for PLAINTIFF ROBERT MONDAVI WINERY

Colonison & Wood, Inc. 27 North First Street ian Jose, CA. 95113 408) 298-7120